

Although the proposed consolidation would have some adverse socioeconomic effect on the employees displaced or whose jobs are terminated, the effects of this consolidation on the natural and physical environment would not be significant. Under consolidation the financial management functions will continue to be performed; the only change would be that some of these functions will be performed in a different city. The actual physical displacement of the Corps' employees relocating to Memphis, Tennessee, should not have a significant effect on the environment. Affected Corps' headquarters, division and district offices are almost exclusively located within urban areas. The consolidation would require the Corps to dispose of office space in some cities and to lease or utilize existing office space at Millington NAS in Memphis, Tennessee. Again, because this activity would be confined primarily to urban areas, the environmental impact of this action would be minimal. Therefore, an environmental impact statement will not be prepared.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joseph Hand, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 2288, Mobile, Alabama 36628-0001, (334) 694-3881.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Copies of the EA and FONSI will be available to the public for review for 30 days following publication of this notice.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-22438 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-92-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-1630-000, et al.]

PECO Energy Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

September 1, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER95-1630-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, PECO Energy Company (PECO) filed a Service Agreement dated August 17, 1995, with Ohio Edison Company (OE) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds OE as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of August 17, 1995, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to OE and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER95-1631-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, PECO Energy Company (PECO), filed a Service Agreement dated August 22, 1995, with LG&E Power Marketing Inc. (LG&E) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds LG&E as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of August 22, 1995, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to LG&E and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. PECO Energy Company

[Docket No. ER95-1632-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, PECO Energy Company (PECO), filed a Service Agreement dated August 22, 1995, with Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) under PECO's FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff). The Service Agreement adds NIPSCO as a customer under the Tariff.

PECO requests an effective date of August 22, 1995, for the Service Agreement.

PECO states that copies of this filing have been supplied to NIPSCO and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1633-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc. (ENGL), tendered for filing a letter from the Executive Committee of the Western Systems Power Pool (WSPP) indicating that ENGL had completed all the steps for pool membership. ENGL requests that the Commission amend the WSPP Agreement to include it as a member.

ENGL requests an effective date of August 17, 1995, for the proposed amendment. Accordingly, ENGL

requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown.

Copies of the filing were served upon the WSPP Executive Committee.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1634-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Illinois Power Company (Illinois), tendered for filing an Interchange Agreement between Illinois and Kimball Power Company (Kimball). Illinois states that the purpose of this agreement is to provide for the buying and selling of capacity and energy between Illinois and Kimball.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1635-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, a unit exchange agreement between NUSCO, on behalf of The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, Holyoke Water Power Company, Holyoke Power and Electric Company and Public Service Company of New Hampshire, and Central Maine Power Company (CMP).

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to CMP.

NUSCO requests that the Agreement become effective on November 1, 1995.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Delmarva Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1639-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Delmarva Power and Light Company (Delmarva Power), tendered for filing a tariff providing for comprehensive transmission service. Delmarva Power states that its filing modifies its Tariff No. 2 that was filed in Docket No. ER95-222-000 and that its tariff is consistent with the draft pro forma tariffs the Commission included with the proposed rule in "Promoting Wholesale Competition Through Open-Access Non-Discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities," Docket No. RM95-8-000, IV FERC Stats. and Regs. ¶32,514 (1995). Delmarva Power asks the Commission to set an effective date for this filing of November 1, 1995.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Delmarva Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1640-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delmarva) of Wilmington, Delaware, tendered for filing revised rate schedule sheets, and a request to suspend the operation of its fuel clause for the purposes of making a one-time refund of fuel expense over-collections and of resetting its Fuel Adjustments. The Company is proposing several revisions to its rate schedules to define more clearly the operation of the fuel adjustment clause. These revisions involve the following customers and rate schedules: Seaford, Rate Schedule 62; Berlin, Rate Schedule 63; Middletown, Rate Schedule 65; and Smyrna, Rate Schedule 68. Delmarva requests an effective date of October 27, 1995.

Comment date: September 15, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P.

[Docket No. QF95-302-000]

On August 16, 1995, Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners, L.P. of 366 Madison Avenue, Suite 1103, New York, New York 10017, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to Section 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the natural gas-fired topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in Kings County, Brooklyn, New York. The facility will consist of two combustion turbine generators, two unfired heat recovery boilers, two extraction/condensing steam turbine generators, and related interconnection equipment. The maximum net power production capacity of the facility will be 315 MW. Thermal energy recovered from the facility will be used for space heating, water distillation and waste water treatment purposes. Installation of the facility began in January of 1995.

Comment date: October 11, 1995 in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E.,

Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-22451 Filed 9-8-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-709-000, et al.]

Southern Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 1, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-709-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-709-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212, and 157.216) for authorization to relocate certain delivery point facilities which serve Dalton Utilities (Dalton). Southern makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Southern proposes to abandon two four-inch meter runs, a heater and some regulating equipment at its existing Dalton No. 2 Delivery Point which is currently located on Southern's 12-inch Chattanooga Branch Lines in Whitfield County, Georgia. Southern also proposes to construct and operate a dual 4-inch orifice meter, heater, regulators, and other appurtenant facilities in order to provide transportation service to Dalton No. 2, at the relocation cite. It is stated that Southern proposes to relocate the facilities to a site on its 12-inch Chattanooga Branch Lines in Whitfield County, Georgia. The estimated cost of the relocation of the delivery facilities is approximately \$101,500. It is indicated

that Dalton will reimburse Southern for the total actual cost of relocating the facilities. Dalton has requested the relocation to serve more efficiently the gas requirements on its distribution system which are growing in the area of the proposed relocation point.

Southern states that it will continue to transport gas to the relocated Dalton No. 2 delivery point, pursuant to its Rate Schedules FT and IT. Dalton does not propose to add or change any transportation demand to its firm service as a result of the relocation of the delivery point. Southern further states that the installation of the proposed facilities will have no adverse impact on its peak day or firm requirements.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Colorado Interstate Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-711-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP95-711-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216 and 157.212) for authorization to abandon the existing Fort Lupton taps and to construct new delivery facilities at the same location for Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo), a local distribution company, under CIG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CIG proposes to abandon two taps and construct a new meter station and appurtenant facilities at Section 34, Township 2 North, Range 66 West, Weld County, Colorado. The proposed new facilities are to be bi-directional, will increase deliverability and will cost \$506,600. The deliveries at the Fort Lupton delivery point will provide service to PSCo's Fort St. Vrain power plant and other loads in the area. Currently, there is 15,500 Dth/d of entitlement under existing agreements, but after the proposed installation, the initial deliveries will be up to 100,000 Dth/d. The total annual and daily contract entitlement for the contracts serving the Fort Lupton delivery facilities are within the certificated entitlements. CIG's existing tariff does not prohibit this change and CIG states that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish the increased deliveries